Effects of salinity on the physiology of *Salvinia auriculata* Aubl. (Salviniales, Pteridophyta)
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Resumo

Efeitos da salinidade na fisiologia de *Salvinia auriculata* Aubl. (Salviniales, Pteridophyta). A macrófita aquática *Salvinia auriculata* Aubl. ocorre em diferentes regiões do Rio Capibaribe, no estado de Pernambuco, Brasil. Esse rio desemboca no mar e, consequentemente, apresenta setores com diferentes gradientes de salinidade. Este trabalho avaliou a fotosíntese, o crescimento e o teor de pigmentos fotosintetizantes de *S. auriculata* frente a um gradiente de salinidade. As plantas foram obtidas em um reservatório no município de Paudalho e foram levadas à casa de vegetação, onde foram mantidas em frascos de vidro contendo 250 mL de volume preenchido, posicionados em bancadas durante 40 h nas seguintes condições de salinidade: 0, 17 e 34, obtidas com água doce, mistura de água doce e salgada e água salgada, respectivamente. Ao final, as folhas
das plantas tratadas com água salgada apresentaram mudança na coloração (de verde para marrom) e também as plantas tiveram redução no seu crescimento. A salinidade e o tempo afetaram negativamente as respostas fotossintetizantes das plantas, com diminuição de $F_v/F_m$, $ETR_{max}$ e $\alpha_{ETR}$ conforme aumentava o conteúdo de sais ao qual as plantas estiveram expostas. Os padrões de respostas obtidos ajudaram a esclarecer a distribuição de $S. auriculata$, podendo ser regulada pela salinidade.
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**Abstract**

*Salvinia auriculata* Aubl. is reported to occur in different zones of the Capibaribe River, Pernambuco State, Brazil. This river varies in salinity in different areas. This study evaluated the growth, photosynthesis and pigment contents of $S. auriculata$ at different salinity levels. Plant sections were collected in the Cursaí Reservoir, located in the municipality of Paudalho, Pernambuco, and were brought to a greenhouse, where they were put in glass flasks filled with 250 mL of liquid, placed on benches. The plants were exposed for 40 h to salinity levels of 0, 17 and 34, obtained with reservoir freshwater, 1:1 freshwater:seawater and pure seawater, respectively. At the end of the experimental period, the plants in salt water showed color changes, with brownish leaves. In addition, plant growth rates decreased. Salinity and time had a negative influence on photosynthetic responses such as $F_v/F_m$, $ETR_{max}$ and $\alpha_{ETR}$, which showed reductions under the highest salinity treatment. Response patterns may help to explain $S. auriculata$ occurrence, and its distribution can be regulated by salinity.
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**Introduction**

Macrophyte assemblages are important ecological constituents of aquatic limnetic systems (lotic or lentic ones), where they are the main primary producers in these environments (ESTEVES, 1998). In other ecological roles, macrophytes provide refuge for larval reproduction of other organisms and act as bioremediation agents, absorbing particulates and heavy metals. They participate in nutrient cycling, regulate dissolved oxygen in the water and offer substrate to periphytic communities (ESTEVES; CAMARGO, 1986; ESTEVES, 1998; KLUMPP et al., 2002; RIGOLLET et al., 2004; DINIZ et al., 2005; THOMÉ, 2008; DESMET et al., 2011).

*Salvinia* spp. are free-floating freshwater macrophytes that show an explosive growth rate under favorable conditions (GARDNER; AL-HAMDANI, 1997), such as nutrient enrichment caused by human activities (BINI et al., 1999) and high concentrations of NH$_4$ and NO$_3$ (JAMPEETONG; BRIX, 2009a). This explosive growth can bring about negative consequences to aquatic ecosystems (ESTEVES, 1998). These plants can have competitive advantages when associated with other species, such as *Typha domingensis* Pers. (TRINDADE, 2008), facilitating dispersion and dominance in aquatic environments.

There are also positive perspectives for *Salvinia* spp. Several studies suggest that they have potential in the removal of heavy metals from polluted waters (DHIR et al., 2008; SOARES et al., 2008; WOLFF et al., 2009). However, some factors can limit the growth of *Salvinia* species, such as high pH (OWENS et al., 2005), anoxic conditions (JAMPEETONG; BRIX, 2009a) and salt stress (PARIDA; DAS, 2005). The last cited authors showed that salt excess affects various factors in these plants, including ion regulation, hormone levels, photosynthesis, leaf anatomy, lipid metabolism, and growth. In addition, chlorophyll and total carotenoid contents of leaves decrease in general due to increased salinity. An increase in proline content was observed in some aquatic macrophytes under salt stress (ROUT; SHAW, 1998; GOMES et al., 2011). Furthermore, salt enrichment causes morphological and nutritional disorders (GOMES et al., 2011), which alters availability, absorption and transport of nutrients within the plants (MUNNS; TESTER, 2008).
High concentrations of Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) can cause toxicity in plants (CHINNUSAMY; ZHU, 2003), and these ions are present in greater quantities in seawater (MAKITA; HARATA, 2008). High salinity can alter ion accumulation, plant growth and leaf appearance and size in salt-sensitive species (WARWICK; BAILEY, 1997), whereas salt-tolerant ones are able to grow and reproduce even at oceanic salinities (MUNNS; TESTER, 2008). Some plants under salt stress are able to tolerate changes in environmental conditions. In these organisms, biochemical pathways promote water retention and uptake, which preserve photosynthesis and ionic homeostasis (ESTEVES; SUZUKI, 2008). When exposed to high concentrations of NaCl, halophyte plants show increased levels of rubisco, although not all of this enzyme pool can be activated (GALMÉS et al., 2013).

*Salvinia auriculata* lacks true roots, having a rhizome with a stele U-shaped in cross section. The leaves are green to brown and oblong-elliptic to nearly circular. Its adaxial surface has elongated papillae, arranged in rows parallel to the main lateral veins (STOLZE et al., 1983). However, under the effects of high salinity, the older leaves can first become yellow-green and then more brown, indicating a clear damage to leaves (THOUVENOT et al., 2012). The plants can occupy wetlands and lagoons with moderately saline waters and close to coasts (GOMES et al., 2011), with possible biomass variation regulated by season (KUFNER et al., 2011). This species is reported to occur in different sections of the Capibaribe River, showing large biomass in certain areas (XAVIER, 2014). This river is influenced by seawater up to 8 km upstream at high tide (CABRAL et al., 2004), and salinity may be an important factor determining the distribution of this species near the coast.

Therefore, it is important to understand the physiological mechanisms of tolerance and responses to salinity. In this work, we determined if salinity is a key factor regulating *S. auriculata* occurrence in the Capibaribe River. Accordingly, we evaluated the effects of seawater on photosynthetic activity and water content of the floating macrophyte *S. auriculata*, collected in a Capibaribe River reservoir, contributing to our understanding of the distribution and abundance patterns of this species in coastal regions.

**Material and Methods**

**Plant collection and acclimation**

*Salvinia auriculata* Aubl. plants were collected from the oligotrophic Cursai Reservoir of the Capibaribe River, situated in Paudalho, Pernambuco State. This reservoir is located in the mesoregion forest and northern forest microregion of Pernambuco. The region’s climate exhibits tropical rainfall with dry summer and the rainy period during February to October, with mean annual precipitation of 1,634.2 mm (BELTRÃO et al., 2005). The water used was collected directly from the reservoir and seawater was obtained at Boa Viagem Beach, in Recife, Pernambuco (Figure 1).

**FIGURE 1:** Study area in Pernambuco State, Brazil. It includes the Capibaribe River, and also the Cursai Reservoir (CUR), where the plants and freshwater were obtained. The seawater was obtained from Boa Viagem Beach.
The plants were placed in 30 different glass containers of approximately 12 cm high x 8 cm wide. Each vessel had 250 mL of freshwater from the original sampling site. The specimens were standardized by fragments of 12.77±0.87 g fresh weight, each containing 6 aerial leaves. These plants were allowed to acclimate for 24 h.

**Experimental design**

After the acclimation period, the water of each vessel was changed. Considering the series of 30 vessels, three different treatments were prepared (with 10 vessels per salinity treatment), filling the glass containers with 250 mL of water of different composition as follows: the first one with the reservoir freshwater at salinity of 0 at 25°C (similar to acclimation conditions, referred to as control), the second one with Boa Viagem Beach seawater at a salinity of 34 at 25°C, and the third one with intermediate salinity, composed of 1:1 volume of freshwater:seawater, having a salinity of 17 at 25°C. No nutritional enrichment was provided. Salinity was monitored during the whole experiment, and remained constant at these levels. This parameter was measured with a hand refractometer model NML 1280S.

Five of the 10 replicate vessels were randomly selected to obtain the different parameter measurements. The experimental unit consisted of each flask containing one plant fragment. The total number of flasks was 30, although only 15 were utilized at each measurement moment. This procedure was performed to ensure a randomized experimental design. The experiment was conducted on July 23 to 27, 2012, totaling 40 h.

Different water parameters were determined (temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen), while others were done in the plants (fresh weight, chlorophyll a fluorescence, CO₂ balance and photosynthetic pigments). They were assessed during the experimental period, at the beginning (0 h) and after 20, 24, 28 and 40 h. These measurement times were selected to allow detection of salinity effects, which can be recognized in short time periods (UPADHYAY; PANDA, 2005). These measurements are detailed below.

**Abiotic parameters**

All these parameters were measured in the water of each experimental treatment unit. Temperature (°C) was assessed with a common thermometer. Water pH was measured with a DMPH-2 potentiometer. Dissolved and saturated oxygen levels in the water were analyzed with a Handylab OX1/SET oximeter. Oxygen data were obtained only at 20 h to the end of experiment.

**Photosynthesis from chlorophyll a fluorescence**

The photosynthetic performance of *S. auriculata* was evaluated by measuring the chlorophyll a fluorescence with a pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorometer (Diving-PAM underwater fluorometer; Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). The optimal equipment settings were previously evaluated for the species, and once defined, they were kept constant (gain=4 and measuring light intensity=6 PAM units). Initially, the samples were dark acclimated for 10 min. Acclimation was necessary to obtain the maximal quantum yield of the sample. The photosynthetic measurements were performed using a ring-shaped adaptor to keep a constant distance between the sample and fiber optic sensor (0.5 cm to *S. auriculata*). The procedures for rapid light curves (RLCs) are described below. After dark acclimation, the basal fluorescence amount was obtained ($F_o$), followed by a first saturating pulse (intensity=8 PAM units, i.e., about 4000 μmol photons.m⁻².s⁻¹, for 0.8 s). The maximal fluorescence was then emitted by the samples, allowing calculation of the maximal quantum yield ($F_v/F_m$), i.e., $F_v/F_m = (F_m - F_o)/F_m$.

As mentioned above, these procedures were followed by performing the RLC option of the Diving-PAM. This technique is a useful application in the rapid investigation of the photosynthetic apparatus providing information on the overall photosynthetic performance of photosynthetic organisms (WHITE; CRITCHLEY, 1999). The samples were exposed to eight increasing intensities of actinic light each for 5 s, and each exposure was followed by a saturating light pulse, after which we measured $F_i$ and $F'_m$, respectively referring to steady state fluorescence and maximum fluorescence.
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of the illuminated samples. These values allowed the calculation of effective quantum yield (Y(II)), i.e., Y(II)=\(\frac{F_m - F_t}{F_m}\) (SCHREIBER et al., 1994) and the proper estimation of electron transport rates (ETR), where ETR=\(Y(II)\times PAR\times A\times F_{II}\). A is absorptance, which was obtained by measuring the amount of light transmitted through a piece of plant (\(E_t\)) and the total light without this piece of plant (\(E_o\)). The same pieces that were used for RLC procedures were utilized to obtain these absorbance values, and A was determined as A=1–(\(\frac{E_t}{E_o}\)). F_{II} (related to proportion of chlorophyll a at PSII) was 0.5 (JOHNSEN; SAKHAUG, 2007).

To quantitatively compare RLCs using parametric statistics, two descriptive parameters were used: photosynthetic efficiency (\(a_{ETR}\)) and maximum electron transport rate (ETR\(_{max}\)). These parameters were calculated by fitting each ETR curve to the equation of Platt et al. (1980), following the Solver procedures suggested by Ritchie (2008).

**Infrared gas analyzer – CO\(_2\) balance**

The entire flasks containing all plant samples were individually placed under the CPY-4 Canopy Assimilation Chamber with 145 mm height x 146 mm (exposed diameter) and exposed area of 167 cm\(^2\). This chamber was connected to the EGM-4 Infrared gas analyzer (PPSystems), allowing analysis of CO\(_2\) flow in a closed system. Samples remained in the chamber for 2 min and CO\(_2\) amounts were recorded every 5 s.

The carbon flux was calculated using the following formula (TAGESSON, 2006):\[C_{flux} = ((C_{final} - C_{initial}) \times t^{-1} \times (V_{ch} \times A_{ch}^{-1})) \times 0.007436 \times 0.1584) / FW,\]

where \(C_{flux}\) is the carbon flux, \(C_{final}\) and \(C_{initial}\) are the amount of C determined at the beginning and end of the incubation period, \(V_{ch}\) is the chamber volume, \(A_{ch}\) is the chamber exposed area, and \(FW\) is the fresh weight of the samples immediately after measuring \(C_{flux}\).

The carbon flux unit was g CO\(_2\) m\(^2\) h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\).

**Growth rates**

Fresh weights were obtained from samples directly at the beginning and end of the experiment (after 40 h). The plants were pre-dried with absorbent paper and then weighed on an analytical balance (BEL Equipment LTDA). Initial (\(FW_i\)) and final (\(FW_f\)) fresh weights were used to determine relative growth rate (RGR) according to Kain (1987):\[RGR = (\ln \frac{FW_f}{FW_i})^*t^{-1}\times 100.\]

On the last experimental day, samples were weighed and placed in an oven until dry (70°C), to determine dry weight (DW) and proportions FW and DW.

**Pigments**

Photosynthetic pigments were extracted from samples in the beginning and at the end of the experimental period (40 h) for all treatments. Samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen, and chlorophyll \(a\), chlorophyll \(b\) and total carotenoids were extracted and quantified with 80% acetone according to Lichtenthaler (1987).

**Statistics**

All biotic parameters were statistically evaluated. This was done by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), where the evaluated factors were salinity and time. Previously, data were tested for normality and homogeneity of variances (Cochran test). When statistical effects were detected (significance level of 0.05%), data were treated with a Student-Newman-Keuls \(a\ posteriori\) test for multiple comparisons.

**Results**

**Abiotic parameters**

There was a decrease in dissolved oxygen in the flask water. From values around 2 mg.L\(^{-1}\) in the three different conditions, there was a four times reduction, where a salinity of 34 was used (Figure 2A). Water flask temperatures ranged from 25 to 30°C. However, these variations occurred similarly for the three experimental conditions (Figure 2B).
Salvinia auriculata was strongly affected by salinity treatments. Plants showed alterations in color after 40 h of treatments, in comparison to those maintained under freshwater treatment, with green leaves (Figure 3A). The altered plants showed green-brownish colored leaves at salinity 17 and completely dark-brown leaves with seawater (salinity 34) (Figure 3B,C). Growth rates and chlorophyll contents, analyzed regardless of the time, were affected statistically by this factor (Table 1). Growth rate was predominantly negative for treated samples at 40 h. While for control samples, it was not less than -0.5%.h⁻¹, the decrease in growth and biomass amount was pronounced in salinity treatments, statistically similar and with negative growth rates around -1.2%.h⁻¹ (Figure 3D).

**TABLE 1:** Results of two-way ANOVA showing isolated and interactive effects between independent variables (salinity and time). Dependent variable data were obtained for Salvinia auriculata cultivated for 40 h in a greenhouse under different salinities. Bold values indicate significant data, considering a 95% confidence interval (α<0.05). N=5. x=variable not analyzed.
Chlorophyll content of *S. auriculata* remained constant with both treatments of increased salinity in comparison to initial pigment content in the plant, while it increased in samples maintained in freshwater (Figure 4A). The same response pattern was observed for chlorophyll *a* and chlorophyll *b* contents.

Photosynthesis of *S. auriculata* was differently affected by salinity and time. While photosynthetic efficiency (αETR) was not affected by either of these factors (and their interaction), $F_v/F_m$ was highly influenced by them, and ETR$_{\text{max}}$ values were only affected by salinity and the interaction of this factor with time (Table 1).

Although there was a small decrease in $F_v/F_m$ values for *S. auriculata* after 24 h under control conditions, these variations were not significant (freshwater, without addition of seawater). At the end of the experimental period, the plants showed similar values of $F_v/F_m$ in comparison to initial ones, remaining around 0.8. On the other hand, when samples were exposed to a salinity of 17, this trend was also observed, but without reaching values as high as initial ones, and $F_v/F_m$ was close to 0.6 from 20 h till the end. Finally, if treated with salinity of 34, $F_v/F_m$ of these plants was reduced gradually, till reaching significantly lower values after 40 h in comparison to those samples which remained under salinity of 0 during the same period (Figure 4B).

Other two photosynthetic parameters evaluated showed different response patterns. Salinity and experimental period factors did not caused any significant variation in αETR (Figure 4C). However, in the case of ETR$_{\text{max}}$, there was an initial increase to control samples after 20 h of exposure under greenhouse conditions with freshwater (salinity of 0). However, in following hours, these values decreased again, reaching the same maximal electron transport rates of around 30 μmol electrons.m$^{-2}$.s$^{-1}$. In treatments with addition of...
seawater (salinities of 17 and 34), there was an initial reduction in these rates, followed by a recovery, and they were lower than control but statistically similar. With salinity of 34, the plants showed the lowest ETR$_{\text{max}}$, but this same treatment resulted in plants with statistically similar values compared to the control condition at the end of experimental period (Figure 4D).

FIGURE 4: Photosynthetic responses of *Salvinia auriculata* maintained under different salinity conditions (freshwater 0, 17 and 34) during 40 h in a greenhouse. A. Photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll $a$ and chlorophyll $b$) of *S. auriculata* at the beginning and after 40 h of experiment. Different letters (lowercase for chlorophyll $a$, and uppercase for chlorophyll $b$ content) represent statistical difference according to Student-Newman-Keuls test. B. Maximum quantum yield ($F_v/F_m$). C. Photosynthetic efficiency ($\alpha$ETR). D. Maximum electron transport rates (ETR$_{\text{max}}$). For all photosynthetic responses, data are means ± SD. N=5.

FIGURE 5: CO$_2$ fluxes of *Salvinia auriculata* maintained under different salinity conditions (freshwater 0, 17 and 34) for 40 h in a greenhouse. Data are means ± SD. N=5.
Discussion

*Salvinia auriculata* was affected by salinity over a short time period (40 h). These rapid responses may explain the reason why this species is absent from the coastal region of the Capibaribe River, where salinity variations occur. The decrease in photosynthesis and growth rate under highest salinity can help to explain the reasons why the species does not occur in high saline waters, but some tolerance to intermediate values was detected. Non-halophytic macrophytes can show a decline in growth when subjected to a high salinity (WARWICK; BAILEY, 1997). In a previous work, *S. natans* appeared to be tolerant to intermediate salinity but was significantly affected by high NaCl levels, due to osmotic stress (JAMPEETONG; BRIX, 2009b), similarly to what was observed in *S. auriculata* here.

Dissolved oxygen in the water containing *S. auriculata* decreased over time under all conditions. However, with higher salinity treatment, this parameter reached the lowest values. Photosynthesis removes carbon dioxide from the water while producing oxygen as a by-product. If water does not have a source for replacing oxygen, dissolved oxygen will decline (OWENS et al., 2005). A deficiency of O$_2$ may have a negative effect on plant metabolism including nitrogen uptake and assimilation (GIBBS; GREENWAY, 2003; MORARD et al., 2004; JAMPEETONG; BRIX, 2009a). This is important when considering that *S. auriculata* had a biomass loss, even in the control treatment. The biomass loss was more pronounced in plants under salinities of 17 and 34. Considering their floating behavior, macrophytes can show rapid nutrient uptake (BIBER, 2009), the absence of nutrient input at the beginning of the experiment can explain biomass loss in all treatments. *Salvinia auriculata* was subjected to a eutrophicated system before the experiment because the Capibaribe River receives frequent nutrient discharge due to industrial pollution (FLORES MONTES et al., 2011). When subjected to nutrient addition, macrophytes can exhibit an increase in biomass, while at the highest salinity the opposite would be true (MACEK; REJMÁNKOVÁ, 2007).

Elevated concentrations of NaCl on the outside of the roots may have decreased the water potential in *S. auriculata*. Therefore, when the water extraction potential of the roots is affected, high concentrations of Na$^+$ and Cl$^-$ in the plant cells have negative effects on various enzymatic processes (JAMPEETONG; BRIX, 2009b). High salinity levels limit leaf or shoot growth of plants (MACEK; REJMÁNKOVÁ, 2007). It was observed that the leaves of *S. auriculata* tended to lose their original color, becoming brownish at the end of seawater treatment. Similar results were observed for *Ludwigia grandiflora*, an invasive macrophyte, with older leaves first becoming yellow-green and then brown at high salinity treatment (THOUVENOT et al., 2012). In addition, micro morphology alterations were detected by Gomes et al. (2011) in *S. auriculata* exposed to saline treatments, which caused changes in chloroplast membranes, emergence of rounded starch grains and progressive loss of trichome turgor, with alterations in leaf anatomy, showing rough appearance.

When plants received any salinity increment, chlorophyll content remained similar to that observed at the beginning of experimental period (initial values). However, in a previous work, the content of chlorophyll-*$a$, chlorophyll-*$b$ and total chlorophyll (chlorophyll-*$a$+*$b$) in *S. auriculata* decreased when the plants were subjected to saline treatments (100 and 200 mM NaCl; 100 and 200 mM Na$_2$SO$_4$) (GOMES et al., 2011). This same situation was detected in *Salvinia molesta* by increasing NaCl concentration, with reductions in chlorophyll and carotenoid contents after 3 days (UPADHYAY; PANDA, 2005). Salt stress also affected the chlorophyll accumulation of *Holocynum persicum*, *Tamarix ramosissima*, and *Calligonum leucocladum* in a Chinese study (XINWEN et al., 2008). It is possible that plants transported to the university greenhouse were exposed to lower amounts of light than under natural reservoir conditions. This could have triggered an increase in pigment content of plants (shadow effect). Shadow plants have more pigments to optimize the absorption of lower amounts of light that reaches their leaves. Therefore, the greenhouse could have an effect similar to that when keeping plants under low light environments, where chlorophyll content increased under the conditions designed as control, without salinity increment. The addition of salt to the water counteracted this effect, preventing an increase in...
pigment. These variations under pigment contents were directly related to photosynthetic responses measured by chlorophyll fluorescence. Photosynthetic responses such as $F_v/F_m$ of *S. auriculata* were influenced by time and salinity, while ETR$_{max}$ values were affected by salinity and the interaction of this factor with time. When *S. auriculata* individuals were kept under anoxic conditions, they showed lower levels of chlorophyll and ETR$_{max}$ and $F_v/F_m$ (JAMPEETONG; BRIX, 2009a). This anoxic situation can explain the same responses obtained in our study.

While fluorescence parameters in *S. auriculata* showed clear effects of salinity treatments, CO$_2$ flux was not affected. Thus, it is possible to conclude that salinity affected photosynthetic routes related to photochemical capture, while carbon metabolism did not seem to have been affected, since CO$_2$ flux was similar under all conditions, with only a trend toward lower flux values under higher salinity treatments. The effects of salinity on plant photochemical responses have been reported in the literature, where ETR$_{max}$ and $F_v/F_m$ were significantly lower in plants treated with 100 and 150 mM salinity in comparison with plants maintained under control conditions (JAMPEETONG; BRIX, 2009a).

*Salvinia auriculata* undergoes morphological and physiological alterations that make it unable to develop and grow in mesohaline or euryhaline environments (GOMES et al., 2011). This was corroborated by the results of our study, which showed important physiological changes in a plant that occurs in environments with brackish water influence, like the Capibaribe River. This can help to explain why in a previous study *Salvinia* biomass was higher in areas with little or no influence of seawater. *Salvinia auriculata* biomass dynamics was studied by Xavier (2014) along the Capibaribe River, with higher values of biomass (up to 50.51 gDW/m$^2$) being observed in an area classified as hypereutrophic, with high concentration of phosphorous and without brackish water influence. According to that study, estuarine areas did not have a substantial abundance of *S. auriculata*.

In conclusion, this study provides physiological explanation for the absence of *S. auriculata* in estuarine and salt waters influenced areas of the Capibaribe River, as this species presented very significant negative effects in a short time period of exposure to intermediate and high saline waters. This may be a primary factor controlling the distribution and abundance of *S. auriculata* in coastal regions.
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