Records of the barnacle *Xenobalanus globicipitis* (Steenstrup, 1851) on small cetaceans of Brazil
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Resumo

A craca *Xenobalanus globicipitis* é um epizoíco encontrado aderido à borda das nadadeiras de cetáceos. O objetivo deste estudo foi ampliar as informações sobre *X. globicipitis* em pequenos cetáceos no litoral do Brasil, com especial referência às espécies capturadas acidentalmente em operações de pesca no Norte do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (21°35'-22°25'S), entre 1989 e 1998. Ao longo da costa brasileira, a presença de *X. globicipitis* foi registrada em *Pontoporia blainvillei*, *Sotalia fluviatilis* e *Tursiops truncatus*. No Norte do Rio de Janeiro, a prevalência de infestação foi de 1,04% para *P. blainvillei*, 10,13% para *S. fluviatilis* e 20,00% para *T. truncatus*. Os indivíduos de *X. globicipitis* aderidos às nadadeiras destes pequenos cetáceos eram todos adultos. A diferença na prevalência de infestação entre *P. blainvillei* e *S. fluviatilis* pode estar relacionada com a velocidade de natação e/ou com o habitat preferencial. Os epizoícos podem ser usados como marcadores...
biológicos, discriminando as áreas de utilização e os padrões de movimentação das espécies e populações de cetáceos.
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**Summary**

The barnacle *Xenobalanus globicipitis* is an epizoic found on the edge of the fins of cetaceans. The purpose of this study was to improve information about *X. globicipitis* on small cetaceans of Brazil, with special reference to incidentally-caught species on the northern coast of Rio de Janeiro State (21°35' - 22°25'S), from 1989 to 1998. Along the Brazilian coast, the presence of *X. globicipitis* was recorded on *Pontoporia blainvillei*, *Sotalia fluviatilis* and *Tursiops truncatus*. On the northern coast of Rio de Janeiro, the prevalence of infestation was 1.04% for *P. blainvillei*, 10.13% for *S. fluviatilis* and 20.00% for *T. truncatus*. The individuals of *X. globicipitis* attached to the fins of these small cetaceans were all adults. The difference in infestation between *P. blainvillei* and *S. fluviatilis* may be related to differences in swimming velocity and/or preferential habitat. The epizoics can be used as biological tags, discriminating home range and movement patterns of the cetacean species and populations.
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The barnacle *Xenobalanus globicipitis* (Steenstrup, 1851) is an epizoic found on the edge of the fins of cetaceans. It has been recorded in tropical and temperate waters world-wide, occurring on about 20 species of cetaceans, from the small *Pontoporia blainvillei* (Gervais and d’Orbigny, 1844) to the large *Balaenoptera musculus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Pinedo et al., 1989; Rajaguru and Shantha, 1992). However, as yet, little is known about the epizoic
fauna of Brazilian cetaceans (Siclilano et al., 1988; Azevedo et al., 1996). The purpose of this study was to improve information on the occurrence of *X. globicipitis* on small cetaceans along the Brazilian coast, with special reference to incidentally-caught species on the northern coast of Rio de Janeiro State (21°35'-22°25'S).

From our review of the literature, we established that the presence of the barnacle on small cetaceans of Brazil had been previously recorded only for *S. fluviatilis* and *T. truncatus* (Table 1). The body epizoics of small cetaceans specimens, accidentally-caught in fisheries on the northern coast of Rio de Janeiro from 1989 to 1998, were analysed. We sampled 190 specimens: 96 *P. blainvillei* (Gervais and d'Orbigny, 1844), 79 *Sotalia fluviatilis* (Gervais, 1853), 6 *Stenella frontalis* (Cuvier, 1829), 5 *Tursiops truncatus* (Montagu, 1821), 2 *Steno bredanensis* (Lesson, 1829), 1 *Delphinus capensis* (Heying and Perrin, 1994) and 1 *Pseudorca crassidens* (Owen, 1846).

The small cetaceans were brought to the laboratory 24-48h after death and the external surfaces of their bodies were macroscopically examined. The intact epizoics were measured from the basal plate to highest point of the hood (total length), as described by Rajaguru and Shantha (1992). The intact epizoic shells were counted only. The average intensity and the prevalence of infestation were calculated according to Bush et al., (1997). The hosts were characterized by total length, sex and age (Table 1).

From the seven species analysed, only three had the barnacle *X. globicipitis* attached to their fins: *P. blainvillei*, *T. truncatus* and *S. fluviatilis*. The present study provides the first record of *P. blainvillei* infested by *X. globicipitis* in Brazilian waters.
TABLE 1: Biological data on small cetaceans infested by *Xenobalanus globicipitis* of Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Area / Latitude</th>
<th>Length (cm)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Year (GLGs)</th>
<th>N* of epizoics</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Pontoporia</em></td>
<td>Dec/92</td>
<td>Flecheira, RJ (22°15'S)</td>
<td>110.0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>blainvillei</em></td>
<td>Mar/87</td>
<td>Guanabara Bay, RJ (-22°48'S)</td>
<td>141.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Siciliano et al., 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Sotalia</em></td>
<td>Feb/91</td>
<td>Quissamã, RJ (22°20'S)</td>
<td>193.0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>fluviatilis</em></td>
<td>May/91</td>
<td>Farol de São Tomé, RJ (22°05'S)</td>
<td>193.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oct/91</td>
<td>Quissamã, RJ (22°20'S)</td>
<td>184.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oct/91</td>
<td>Quissamã, RJ (22°20'S)</td>
<td>176.0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nov/91</td>
<td>Flecheira, RJ (22°15'S)</td>
<td>187.0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jul/92</td>
<td>Guanabara Bay, RJ (-22°48'S)</td>
<td>178.0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Azevedo et al., 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sep/92</td>
<td>Northern RJ (21°35-22°25'S)</td>
<td>191.0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oct/92</td>
<td>Quissamã, RJ (22°20'S)</td>
<td>187.0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jan/93</td>
<td>Northern RJ (21°35-22°25'S)</td>
<td>186.5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tursiops</em></td>
<td>Sep/91</td>
<td>Farol de São Tomé, RJ (22°05'S)</td>
<td>162.0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>truncatus</em></td>
<td>May/95</td>
<td>Cabo Frio, RJ (23°00'S)</td>
<td>287.0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Azevedo et al., 1996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(RJ = Rio de Janeiro State; M = male; F = female; GLGs = growth layer groups)
The presence of *X. globicipitis* was recorded in only one specimen of *P. blainvillei* (intensity = 1, prevalence = 1.04%, total length of the epizoic = 48.3 mm) and one of *T. truncatus* (intensity = 1, prevalence = 20.00%) (Table 1). In relation to *T. truncatus*, only the barnacle shell was found attached to the specimen’s caudal fin. The presence of *X. globicipitis* was verified on 8 specimens of *S. fluviatilis*. The average infestation intensity was 6 (range = 1-16, SD = 4.8, prevalence = 10.13%) and the epizoics’ total length varied from 27.5 to 58.5 mm (mean = 43.0, SD = 0.76) (Table 1). All the specimens of *X. globicipitis* attached to the small cetaceans caught on the northern coast of Rio de Janeiro were adults (over 25.0 mm, as described by Spivey, 1980).

The species *P. blainvillei* and *S. fluviatilis* were sympatric in the area studied and the sample size examined was rather similar between these species. However, the prevalence of infestation of *X. globicipitis* on *S. fluviatilis* was around ten times greater than it was on *P. blainvillei*. Two hypotheses are suggested to explain this difference. First, the swimming velocity. The water flow has an inverse relation with the success in settlement of the cirriped larvae (Wethey et al., 1988). Thus, differences in swimming velocity between small cetacean species might result in different infestation rates. Aznar et al. (1994) reported an increase in the number of epizoics on the slower cetaceans in the Mediterranean Sea. Second, the preferential habitat. *P. blainvillei* and *S. fluviatilis* have a marine coastal distribution (Jefferson et al., 1993) and the feeding patterns of these species on the northern coast of Rio de Janeiro suggest that the former has a stronger association with estuarine areas than the latter (Di Benedetto, in preparation). Rittmaster et al. (1999) recorded the presence of *X. globicipitis* on *T. truncatus* that inhabits marine and estuarine areas, on the western North Atlantic coast. The authors verified that the percentage of dolphins found in the ocean with the barnacle was approximately four times that encountered in the estuary. *S. fluviatilis* has a wider marine distribution than *P. blainvillei* and this might favour the epizoic infestation.
The epizoics can be considered as biological tags (Aznar et al., 1994; Rittmaster et al., 1999). Long term research on the epizoic fauna of cetaceans may yield information that can help to discriminate home range and movement patterns of the species and their populations. It will also improve our knowledge about the life history of epizoic species.
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