The purpose of the present study was to examine reaction time (RT), movement time (MT) and electromyography (EMG) signal under tactile, acoustic and visual stimulation. Two groups of subjects took part in the study: one consisting of advanced fencers (n = 12, average age 22.3) practicing fencing for an average of 8.3 years; and the other consisting of novice fencers (n = 15, average age 14.8) practicing fencing for an average of 2.8 years. The research tool applied in the study was an innovative system of surface electromyography with peripheral equipment that enabled participants’ reactions to tactile, audio and visual stimulation. The system made it possible to record RT and MT separately. The subjects were exposed to forty-five stimuli in a randomized manner in each type of stimulation. The tested fencers responded fastest to tactile stimuli, then to acoustic stimuli, and in a much slower way to visual stimuli (p < 0.01). The advanced fencers exhibited significantly lower values of RT, MT, and EMG signal in comparison with the novice fencers. Both groups exhibited a decrease in the EMG signal value during the tactile, acoustic and visual stimulation trials, supporting the hypothesis. A slight coincidence of EMG signal curves was also observed in the visual stimuli test. It can be concluded that visual perception lowers muscle tension in novice fencers (p < 0.05).
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O objetivo do presente estudo foi examinar o tempo de reação (TR), tempo de movimento (TM) e sinal eletromiográfico (EMG) durante os estímulos tátil, acústico e visual. Dois grupos de indivíduos participaram do estudo: um grupo composto por esgrimistas avançados (n= 12, idade média= 22.3), em média 8,3 anos de prática; e o outro por esgrimistas novatos (n= 15, idade média= 14.8), em média 2,8 anos de prática. A ferramenta de investigação aplicada no estudo foi um inovador sistema de superfície eletromiográfica, com equipamentos periféricos que permitiram acessar as reações aos estímulos tátil, acústico e visual dos participantes. O sistema tornou possível gravar o TR e TM separadamente. Os indivíduos foram expostos a quarenta e cinco estímulos de modo randomizado, em cada tipo de estímulo. Os esgrimistas responderam mais rapidamente ao estímulo tátil e, em seguida, ao estímulo acústico, e de maneira mais lenta ao estímulo visual (p < 0,01). Os esgrimistas avançados exibiram valores significativamente mais baixos de TR, TM e sinal EMG, em comparação aos esgrimistas novatos. Ambos os grupos exibiram um decréscimo no valor do sinal EMG durante as tentativas de estímulos tátil, acústico e visual, suportando a hipótese. Uma pequena coincidência das curvas do sinal EMG também foi observada no teste de estímulo visual. Pode-se concluir que a percepção visual reduz a tensão muscular nos esgrimistas novatos (p < 0,05).
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INTRODUCTION

Apart from assessment of movement precision, another fundamental type of evaluation of motor behavior is measurement of sensor-motor responses, depending on the type of stimuli. It is assumed that an individual who processes information faster in the course of time is more efficient in many types of motor tasks. Reaction time (RT) and movement time (MT) are the basic measures (milliseconds=ms) of the speed of information processes. In terms of the training process one needs to take account of the significance of the dominant stimuli characteristic of a particular sport, such as reactions to visual, tactile and acoustic stimuli.

Quick perception and prompt identification of acoustic stimuli play a significant role in running athletic events, swimming and skating. Combat sports (such as fencing, boxing, karate) feature a number of requirements as far as tactile and visual perception is concerned. In fencing, in particular, apart from the obvious significance of reactions to visual stimuli, responses to the opponent’s blade strikes (so-called iron sensing) are also vital. What is more, acoustic effects are also important to some extent in fencing, as the fencer’s step rhythm enables assessment of the distance between the contestants and determines the choice of footwork techniques.

RT can be defined as an interval between the appearance of a stimulus (e.g. visual stimulus) and the first bioelectrical muscle activity. MT is an interval between the registered muscle activity and the completed movement. Thus it became necessary to design a device that could examine RT and MT separately along with the electromyography (EMG) signal. As we know, most movement reactions in real sport are made in a repetitive sequence manner, and thus a system able to register such movements was developed. For this purpose, the threshold of electromyography signal detection was established. This was necessary as empirical studies indicated that subjects often tensed their muscles excessively before testing, which caused a failure in the registration system. Studies of responses to stimulation in sport have been carried out by who suggest that the RT to [receptive tactile] stimulation falls between 90 and 130ms, to acoustic stimuli from 20 to 50ms, to light signals from 180 to 200ms. Earlier studies examining different kinds of fencers’ RT reached similar conclusions, and also finding that advanced athletes displayed a considerably shorter RT in their responses to visual stimuli. Study of physically active students using the surface electromyography (sEMG) system showed that RT to tactile stimuli (RTT) was about 20ms shorter than RT to acoustic stimuli (RTA), and about 80ms shorter in RT to visual stimuli (RTV). An innovative system of information registration was used in this research, in conjunction with sEMG. This new approach was motivated by the need to separate data about information processes taking place in the central nervous system (RT), from the movement itself (MT).

The following research questions were formulated:

1) Does the type of stimulation influence RT, MT and EMG signal values in advanced and novice fencers?
2) How significantly do the parameters of information processes differ in advanced and novice fencers?

The adopted hypothesis predicted that the RT, MT and EMG parameters differed considerably depending on the type of stimulation, and that they were significantly reduced in the advanced fencers.

Thanks to application of the beginner-expert paradigm certain proposals can be suggested to fencers. The differences in RT, MT and EMG can serve as a database for development of motor habits, understood as senso-motor responses to tactile, acoustic and visual stimuli.

METHODS

Participants

The participants were divided into two groups. The group of advanced fencers (n = 12, mean age 22.3) consisted of athletes who had been actively practicing fencing for a mean of 8.3 years. The group of novice fencers (n = 15, mean age 14.8) consisted of athletes practicing fencing for a mean of 2.8 years.

The study was preceded by numerous piloting studies, which made it possible to choose the most optimal methods of measurement. The range and methodology of the experiment gained the approval of the lead author’s institute’s Bioethics Commission of Scientific Research (13/4/2006).

Instrumentation

From the standpoint of physical culture sciences, i.e. muscle physiology and psychomotor reactions, the system of surface electromyography (sEMG) seems to be the most useful. Three types of sEMG application can be distinguished:

1) establishment of the muscle activation time, i.e. the start and finish of muscle stimulation,
2) assessment of muscle strength,
3) establishment of the muscle fatigue index through analysis of the spectrum of signal frequency.

The first use of sEMG, i.e. setting the muscle activation time, can be also employed in diagnostics of information processes. This innovative application would necessitate a separate analysis of parameters of information processes in the central nervous system, interpreted as reaction time (RT) and movement time (MT). RT is the interval between the occurrence of the stimulus (e.g. visual) and the first bioelectrical activity of the muscle. MT is the interval between the registered muscle activity and the completion of movement. It would be necessary to design a device capable of calculating these parameters as well as the value of muscle activation (microvolts=µV).
The measurement system consists of the analog and digital parts. Figure 1 presents the respective flow chart. The analog part allows pre-amplification and filtering of the EMG signal. The signal is then digitalized and transmitted to the microprocessor. The microprocessor input is equipped with the switching circuit (buttons). The applied software allows synchronizing measurement samples, digital pre-filtering and generation of data transfer protocol. The data is transferred with the aid of USB 2.0 (Universal Serial Bus). The digital signal representing the EMG voltage is sent to the PC (personal computer). The software allows detection of the latency time, motor reaction time and synchronization with the visual impulses. Moreover, the PC collects data, and studied parameters and the subject’s characteristics in a database. The results of measurements can be saved in a tabular form or in a graphic file (Figure 1).

**PC software**

The software consists of modules responsible for data acquisition, signal processing, setting the signal parameters and visualization and storage of measurement results. The data transfer module ensures the transfer of synchronous data representing the measured EMG signal. The data is processed, digitally filtered and buffered. A respective module determines the measurement sequence consisting of cyclic light impulses in a specific color. The number of impulses and intervals between them can be regulated in a wide spectrum. There is a possibility of random timing. Furthermore, the sequence may include interfering impulses in different colors and quantity. On the basis of the time difference between the occurrence of the light impulse in a specific color and the signal of muscle activation, the motor reaction time and latency time are detected. The time course of the EMG signal, respective time intervals and the voltage range during reaction are visualized during measurement. At the same time respective characteristics are being generated. After the measurement the results can be stored in a database or saved as graphic files (JPG).

**Testing station with peripheral devices**

The testing station consists of a PC and an electromyograph connected to two pairs of electrodes and the reference electrode through binary outlets. The electromyograph is operated from the control panel. Since the computer screen can emit only visual stimuli the testing station was also equipped with a loudspeaker emitting acoustic signals and an electromagnet generating tactile stimuli (Figure 2).

**PROcedures**

The participants responded to singular tactile, acoustic and visual stimuli. Their responses were recorded as simple reactions classified into different types. During the study three test trials were carried out in which the sEMG system emitted 45 tactile, acoustic and visual stimuli. The three trials were separated by two ten-minute breaks, where were used to avoid sensor-motor facilitation and thus to obtain more objective results. RT, MT and EMG were registered in all trials:

1) In the tactile stimuli test a computer-assisted electromagnet was used. The electromagnet bolt was placed in the plate on which the subject’s palm of dominant hand rested. After the bolt hit against the palm, the subject pressed the button with his fingers, covering a distance of 35mm.

2) The acoustic signal was emitted by a speaker connected to the EMG apparatus. The subject pressed the panel button following each stimulus in the same way as in the tactile stimuli test.

![Figure 1. Flow chart of the measurement system.](image1)

![Figure 2. The subject is waiting for a tactile signal (electromagnet bolt hits against the palm).](image2)
3) The visual stimulus was displayed on the computer screen as a red circle taking up about a quarter of the screen. Immediately after noticing the signal the participants had to press the button in the same way as in the previous tests.

In all the trials, time intervals in stimuli emission were set at random between 1 and 5 seconds (Figure 3).

Statistical analysis
The conformity of results with the normal distribution was examined. Friedman's ANOVA was chosen as a nonparametric test as this criterion was not satisfied. T-test for dependent variables was chosen for the analysis of the subjects' internal structure. The intergroup analysis results were represented graphically by way of unweighted means.

RESULTS

The system registered reaction time, movement time and electromyography signal to tactile stimuli (RTT, MTT, EMGT); acoustic stimuli (RTA, MTA, EMGA) and visual stimuli (RTV, MTV, EMGV).

Advanced fencers
The collected data confirmed the assumption that the fencers achieved the best results in reaction to tactile stimuli by reducing RT for about 21ms in their responses to acoustic stimuli and 75ms in their responses to visual stimuli (Table 1).

The t-test for dependent variables confirmed significant differences between the three indices of reaction time: RTV v. RTT (p < 0.001), RTV v. RTA (p < 0.001) and RTT v. RTA (p < 0.021). There were no significant differences in the MT parameters between 214 and 221ms. The level of statistical significance for MT of the three kinds of stimulation amounted to MTT v. MT (p < 0.819), MTT v. MTA (p < 0.698), MTA v. MTV (p < 0.601), respectively. The obtained results were similar as the subjects had to cover the same distance (35mm) using their fingers. The significant time differences in RT in the three trials had no influence on MT fluctuation.

The collected data point to a significant variability of EMG parameters (Table 2). The highest values concerned the differences between EMGA and EMGV (p < 0.002) and between EMGT and EMGV (p < 0.003). As far as the differences in EMG signals to tactile stimuli and acoustic stimuli are concerned, the level of significance was p < 0.048. The results showed that the tactile and acoustic stimulation caused a lower EMG signal. They indicate that the participants’ response to visual signals causes a decrease of muscle tension without effective RT reduction.

![Figure 3. Electromyography signal curve with reaction time (RT) and movement time (MT) marked values.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Average rank</th>
<th>Sum of ranks</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTT [ms]</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>125.250</td>
<td>24.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTT [ms]</td>
<td>4.833</td>
<td>58.000</td>
<td>219.833</td>
<td>33.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTA [ms]</td>
<td>2.1667</td>
<td>26.000</td>
<td>146.166</td>
<td>29.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA [ms]</td>
<td>4.250</td>
<td>51.000</td>
<td>213.666</td>
<td>52.908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTV [ms]</td>
<td>3.416</td>
<td>41.000</td>
<td>200.166</td>
<td>28.856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTV [ms]</td>
<td>5.083</td>
<td>61.000</td>
<td>220.833</td>
<td>20.818</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RTT = Reaction time tactile, MTT = Movement time tactile, RTA = Reaction time acoustic, MTA = Movement time acoustic, RTV = Reaction time visual, MTV = Movement time visual.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Average rank</th>
<th>Sum of ranks</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMGT [µv]</td>
<td>2.750</td>
<td>33.000</td>
<td>716.833</td>
<td>196.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMGA [µv]</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>24.000</td>
<td>585.167</td>
<td>52.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMGV [µv]</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>512.333</td>
<td>61.705</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMGT = Electromyography tactile, EMGA = Electromyography acoustic, EMGV = Electromyography visual.

---

### Table 1. Variability indicators of reaction time and movement time depending on the type of stimuli with advanced fencers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Average rank</th>
<th>Sum of ranks</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTT [ms]</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>125.250</td>
<td>24.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTT [ms]</td>
<td>4.833</td>
<td>58.000</td>
<td>219.833</td>
<td>33.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTA [ms]</td>
<td>2.1667</td>
<td>26.000</td>
<td>146.166</td>
<td>29.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA [ms]</td>
<td>4.250</td>
<td>51.000</td>
<td>213.666</td>
<td>52.908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTV [ms]</td>
<td>3.416</td>
<td>41.000</td>
<td>200.166</td>
<td>28.856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTV [ms]</td>
<td>5.083</td>
<td>61.000</td>
<td>220.833</td>
<td>20.818</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RTT = Reaction time tactile, MTT = Movement time tactile, RTA = Reaction time acoustic, MTA = Movement time acoustic, RTV = Reaction time visual, MTV = Movement time visual.

### Table 2. Variability of electromyography signal on tactile, acoustic and visual stimulation with advanced fencers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Average rank</th>
<th>Sum of ranks</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMGT [µv]</td>
<td>2.750</td>
<td>33.000</td>
<td>716.833</td>
<td>196.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMGA [µv]</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>24.000</td>
<td>585.167</td>
<td>52.958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMGV [µv]</td>
<td>1.250</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>512.333</td>
<td>61.705</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMGT = Electromyography tactile, EMGA = Electromyography acoustic, EMGV = Electromyography visual.
Novice fencers

The collected data confirmed the assumption that in reactions to different stimuli novice fencers achieved the best (the lowest) RT values RTT, RTA and RTV. The following differences were noted: RTV v. RTT (p < 0.001), RTV v. RTA (p < 0.001). There were no significant differences between RTA and RTT (p < 0.378). An analysis of mutual dependencies for MT did not show any significant differences in particular trials (Table 3).

Table 3. Variability indicators of reaction time, movement time depending on the type of stimuli with novice fencers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Average rank</th>
<th>Sum of ranks</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTT [ms]</td>
<td>1.733</td>
<td>26.000</td>
<td>149.067</td>
<td>28.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTT [ms]</td>
<td>4.400</td>
<td>66.000</td>
<td>225.733</td>
<td>31.263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTA [ms]</td>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>24.000</td>
<td>164.267</td>
<td>45.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA [ms]</td>
<td>3.533</td>
<td>53.000</td>
<td>217.333</td>
<td>55.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTV [ms]</td>
<td>5.467</td>
<td>82.000</td>
<td>243.733</td>
<td>42.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTV [ms]</td>
<td>4.267</td>
<td>64.000</td>
<td>232.067</td>
<td>51.149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RTT = Reaction time tactile, MTT = Movement time tactile, RTA = Reaction time acoustic, MTA = Movement time acoustic, RTV = Reaction time visual, MTV = Movement time visual.

Significant differences were noted in the value of EMG signal for the three kinds of stimulation. A similar decrease in the EMG signal value was noticed in the novice fencers as well as in the advanced fencers (Table 4).

Table 4. Variability of electromyography signal on tactile, acoustic and visual stimulation with novice fencers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Average rank</th>
<th>Sum of ranks</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMGT [µv]</td>
<td>2.933</td>
<td>44.000</td>
<td>904.600</td>
<td>153.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMGA [µv]</td>
<td>1.867</td>
<td>28.000</td>
<td>763.667</td>
<td>99.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMGV [µv]</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>18.000</td>
<td>603.600</td>
<td>142.931</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMGT = Electromyography tactile, EMGA = Electromyography acoustic, EMGV = Electromyography visual

Bioelectrical muscle tension expressed by a decreasing EMG value displayed a linear tendency: EMGT v. EMGA (p < 0.001), EMGT v. EMGV (p < 0.001) and EMGA v. EMGV (p < 0.004).

Comparative analysis of parameters of different kinds of stimulation for advanced and novice fencers using t-test with separate variance assessment

In the three kinds of stimulation one can observe significant RT differences as far as tactile (p < 0.029) and visual (p < 0.057) indices between the tested groups were concerned. It is vital that the greatest differences concerned most RT to tactile stimuli; slight differences were recorded in responses to acoustic stimuli (p < 0.249). A characteristic tendency is the lack of significant differences concerning MT in the three trials. This suggests that novice athletes may compensate for delays in processing sensual information (expressed by RT) with the speed of movement (expressed by MT) (Figure 4).

Both groups featured an expected drop in the EMG signal value in the tactile stimuli trial, acoustic stimuli trial and visual stimulation trial. A slight coincidence of EMG signal curves could be also observed in the visual stimuli test. It may suggest that visual perception lowers the novice fencers’ muscle tension (p < 0.050) (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

The research was aimed at estimation of RT and MT in fencing. According to fencing experts, some elite fencers feature instant RT and MT. There are, however, some elite fencers whose pace of information processing is very fast, but the performance of their movements is relatively slow albeit accurate. The study used three types of stimulation (tactile, acoustic, visual) considering the fact that success in fencing, unlike in many other
combat sports, depends on the time of response to these three types of stimulation. An innovative EMG system not only allowed registration of muscle tone during the tests but also registration of information from any number of repeated movements.

The authors used experiments — considering the specificity of fencing responses — measuring simple reaction time. It was assumed that a number of motor habits in sport appear in the form of single senso-motor responses. For instance, a simple reaction can be a response with a trained movement to a known stimulus. In fencing, simple reaction takes place when a fencer responds with a thrust or a parry to a familiar stimulus (coach’s signal). What is unknown is when exactly the coach would make the movement. This model of simple reaction is the basis of one of the most widely applied training methods in combat sports, i.e. exercising a given action in response to the coach’s signal. That is why the stimuli in the present study were emitted at random in the range between 1 and 5 seconds.

The analysis of the study results confirmed the initial hypothesis about different reaction times, depending on the type of stimulation. The responses to tactile and acoustic stimuli were significantly faster than responses to visual stimuli. These differences were associated with neuronal transduction. It is commonly asserted that reaction to visual stimuli is associated with a considerable number of synaptic links with the retina. It can be assumed that significantly faster reactions to tactile stimuli are due to the large concentration of receptors in the finger pad (about 2000) as well as due to the system of conduction of impulses and the speed of stimulation of cortical areas of somatic sensation. Finally, the efficiency of the auditory system depends on the receptors in the spiral organ of the middle ear cochlea. The impulses are conducted by sensory neurons in the auditory canal to the temporal lodes of cerebral cortex. According to the acoustic stimuli cover this distance in 10-15ms.

The obtained results are in accordance with the results of their study of athletes. However, they are slightly different from the data of young people featuring an average level of physical activity. According to their findings, RT to acoustic stimuli amounted from 140ms to 160ms, and it was shorter from 20 to 40ms in comparison with responses to tactile stimuli. The aforementioned differences indicate that fencing training affects the speed of information processing in each kind of stimulation. At the same time, the use of a fencing weapon had a decisive influence on the improvement of reactions to tactile stimuli, which is of crucial significance in fencing.

The reaction time profiles of novice and expert fencers revealed significant differences in RT to acoustic, tactile and visual stimuli. Characteristically, the reactions to acoustic stimuli revealed no significant differences. One may, therefore, conclude that reactions to tactile and visual stimuli can be effectively exercised. A similar tendency was observed in movement times of senso-motor reactions to the three types of stimuli. It shows that one’s predispositions to perform fast movements appear at the early stages of training and can be further developed only to a limited extent. It can be claimed that the type of stimulation, as opposed to RT, does not significantly affect the variability of MT parameters. The greatest differences between RT and MT, between experts and beginners, were, however, noted in reactions to visual stimuli. This shows that fencing training greatly reduces the information processing time in reaction to visual stimulation. A long-term fencing training, held in conditions of permanent time shortage, greatly affects the speed of the constitutive information processes (identification of stimuli, choice of response and reaction programming) as attributes of the decision-making speed. The effectiveness of the information processing speed is related to the development of cognitive processes such as analysis of initial signals, concentration and divisibility of attention. The advanced fencers’ ability of fast reaction conditions the feeling of pace, which is an important part of fencing shock tactics.

Significant differences were observed between EMG values, which were much higher in the novice fencers in their reactions to tactile, acoustic and visual stimuli. Thus, higher capacity of expert fencers reduces the tension of muscles involved in performance of the test. This relationship has been confirmed by many combat sports experts, e.g.22.

The tactile system delivers information gathered in tactile receptors (Meissner’s and Rusfﬁni corpuscles). Once the stimulation strength exceeds the threshold of activation of sensory nerves, the signals are activated and transmitted directly to the brain. In combat sports tactile perception plays a very important role next to visual perception. Eastern martial arts, boxing and fencing are contact sports in which the feedback about the strength and type of tactile stimuli determines the fighter’s choice of senso-motor responses. What is characteristic of the historical development of fencing technique, is the fact that even the most basic fencing movements, including thrusts, in all kinds of fencing weapons, commence with the contact between the master’s and student’s blades. The master’s release is a signal for action. Also acoustic stimulation is crucial for cognitive processes in fencing as anticipatory perception of other stimuli. Acoustic information consists of such components as perception of the rhythm of movements, their frequency, timing and sound pitch and amplitude. The importance of hearing in sports involving artistic expression has been widely discussed in literature. In fencing footwork training is based on the feeling of rhythm relative to the concept of fencing pace. Losing the proper rhythm during offensive actions in conventional weapons (saber, foil) usually ends in relinquishing right-of-way and exposes fencers to defeat in particular bouts.

Visual perception serves as a framework for the sound signals. In other words, visual memory is like a map demonstrating auditory expression relative to motor proprioception. It can be concluded that visual information is complementary information in the process of making and adjusting decisions.
CONCLUSION

1. Assuming that a study of sport mastery development for several years from the novice to the master level is practically unfeasible in longitudinal research an informative beginner-expert paradigm was adopted25.

2. The research shows that novice and expert fencers differ significantly in the time of sensor-motor responses to tactile and visual stimulation. The expert fencers’ sensor-motor responses were significantly reduced in RT, whereas non-significant differences were observed in MT. The acoustic stimuli did not display any variability. These results prove that fencing training consisting of application of blade work (parries and binds) in individual sessions with a coach, affects the development of perception skills and processing of tactile stimuli. The greatest differences were observed in the processing of visual information, which was decisively faster in the expert fencers.

3. Important conclusions can be drawn concerning the EMG signal, which reveals lower bioelectric tension in expert fencers’ muscles in the three types of stimulation. The expert fencers responded faster, however more economically and sensibly, without generating unnecessary bioelectric tension. The similarity between the tests and real fencing actions made the expert fencers reproduce the motor patterns in the tests almost automatically.

4. The research conclusions can be useful guidelines for coaches in their development of motor patterns during a long-term perceptual training, based on the concept of KR (knowledge of results) feedback which considers time as a variable affecting the organization of motor programs.
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